Title: A&S Fall 2017 Standard Evaluation Course: POLSW3998_001_2017_3 / HONORS SEMINAR Instructors: John D. Huber

Dates: **12/04/2017 - 12/14/2017** Responses: **7/16 - 43.75%** Number of Participants: **16** Enrollment of All Students: **16**

TA Graph Report for: Anna Maria Wilke

1	What is your overall assessment of the effectiveness of the teaching assistant?			
	1	Poor	0 (0%)	
	2	Fair	0 (0%)	
	3	Good	0 (0%)	
	4	Very good	2 (29%)	
	5	Excellent		5 (71%)
	6	N/A		0 (0%)
_	Me	edian 5 Interpolate	ed Median 4.80 Mean 4.71 St	d Dev 0.49

COURSEWORKS@COLUMBIA

Program Evaluation System

Title: A&S Fall 2017 Standard Evaluation Course: POLSW3998_001_2017_3 / HONORS SEMINAR Instructors: John D. Huber Dates: 12/04/2017 - 12/14/2017 Responses: 7/16 - 43.75% Enrollment of Registered Students:16 Enrollment of All Students:16

TA Comments Report for: Anna Maria Wilke

- Q1 What are the strengths and weaknesses of your teaching assistant (discussion section leader, lab section leader, grader, or other assistant) as an instructor, and how might his or her teaching be improved?
 - Anna is great gives great feedback on our assignments and is open to meeting with us one on one if we need help. She is very approachable.
 - Incredibly sincere and kind. Also super sharp and helpful. You can tell that she cares and is willing to help.
 - Anna is great! Really smart and encouraging. She gives really useful feedback and is responsive to emails.
 - Very helpful and encouraging!
 - Like Prof. Huber, great overall. Super helpful, always available and very involved in each project. It was a pleasure working with her.

Title: A&S Spring 2018 Standard Evaluation Course: POLSC3999_001_2018_1 / SENIOR HONORS SEMINAR Instructors: John D. Huber

Dates: 04/23/2018 - 05/03/2018

Responses: 10/16 - 62.50% Number of Participants: 16

Enrollment of All Students: 16

TA Graph Report for: Anna Maria Wilke

1		What is your overall assessment of the effectiveness of the teaching assistant?			
	1	Poor	0 (0%)		
	2	Fair	0 (0%)		
	3	Good	0 (0%)		
	4	Very good	1 (10%)		
	5	Excellent		9 (90%)	
	6	N/A		0 (0%)	
	Me	Median 5 Interpolated Median 4.94Mean 4.90 Std			

COURSEWORKS@COLUMBIA

Title: A&S Spring 2018 Standard Evaluation Course: POLSC3999_001_2018_1 / SENIOR HONORS SEMINAR Instructors: John D. Huber

Program Evaluation System

Dates: 04/23/2018 - 05/03/2018 Responses: 10/16 - 62.50% Enrollment of Registered Students:16 Enrollment of All Students:16

TA Comments Report for: Anna Maria Wilke

- Q1 What are the strengths and weaknesses of your teaching assistant (discussion section leader, lab section leader, grader, or other assistant) as an instructor, and how might his or her teaching be improved?
 - Amazing!
 - Anna is an all-star. She might just be the most dedicated TA that I've ever had. She cares a lot about helping her students, and is super timely on her feedback and responsiveness. She seems to know everything about every topic. The Poli-Sci department needs more TAs like her.
 - Anna was awesome!
 - Anna is the best. She took so much time out of her busy schedule to meet with each of us. I met with her several times to get help on R and she always explained everything to me thoroughly and did not judge my lack of knowledge on the subject. She was always very responsive to emails and overall was a great resource to have.
 - Anna was super encouraging and was always available to help with anything I was confused about. Her knowledge of methods (especially quantitative methods) was particularly helpful for my own project, and she was able to translate difficult statistical operations/methods into manageable language for those of us with little experience with programming and stats. To put it in simple terms, Anna was my fairy godmother of R: if there was something I was interested in looking into, Anna was easily able to find a command that would analyze that for me even if I stated my interest in really vague terms.
 - Anna was an outstanding TA. I was truly impressed by her ability to jump from one of our projects to the next, while remembering the intricacies of our work, and providing personalized feedback. Clearly, Anna is an excellent researcher and quantitative researcher herself, and provided invaluable help with the quantitative components of my thesis.
 - Anna is amazing and deserves full credit for my project. She was always available to meet, even at short notice, and on multiple occasions spent more than an hour helping me learn how to code my data, structure my paper, or analyze my results. I received much more feedback from Anna than from either my faculty adviser or Professor Huber. In a process that was overall a pretty negative and discouraging experience for me, I have nothing but good things to say about Anna.
 - She is AMAZING! She deserves a raise